arXiv:hep-th/9602015v1 5 Feb 1996

GENERALIZED KILLING EQUATIONS AND TAUB-NUT SPINNING SPACE

Diana Vaman[1] *and* Mihai Visinescu[2]

Department of Theoretical Physics
Institute of Atomic Physics, P.O.Box MG-6, Magurele,
Bucharest, Romania

Abstract

The generalized Killing equations for the configuration space of spinning particles (spinning space) are analysed. Simple solutions of the homogeneous part of these equations are expressed in terms of Killing-Yano tensors. The general results are applied to the case of the four-dimensional euclidean Taub-NUT manifold.

PACS. 04.20.Me Conservation laws and equations of motion
02.40.+m Geometry, differential geometry, and topology

1 Spinning particles and constants of motion

The pseudo-classical limit of the Dirac theory of a spin 1/2 fermion in curved space-time is described by the supersymmetric extension of the usual relativistic point-particle [1]. The configuration space of spinning particles (spinning space)

---

[1] E-mail address: DVAMAN@THEOR1.IFA.RO
[2] E-mail address: MVISIN@THEOR1.IFA.RO

is an extension of an ordinary Riemannian manifold, parametrized by local coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$, to a graded manifold parametrized by local coordinates $\{x^\mu, \psi^\mu\}$, with the first set of variables being Grassmann-even (commuting) and the second set Grassmann-odd (anti-commuting). The equation of motion of a spinning particle on a geodesic is derived from the action:

$$S = \int d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{i}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \psi^\mu \frac{D\psi^\nu}{D\tau} \right). \tag{1}$$

The corresponding world-line hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Pi_\mu \Pi_\nu \tag{2}$$

where $\Pi_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu$ is the covariant momentum.

For any constant of motion $\mathcal{J}(x, \Pi, \psi)$, the bracket with H vanishes, $\{H, \mathcal{J}\} = 0$, with the Poisson-Dirac brackets for functions of the covariant phase-space variables (x, Π, ψ) defined by

$$\{F, G\} = \mathcal{D}_\mu F \frac{\partial G}{\partial \Pi_\mu} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Pi_\mu} \mathcal{D}_\mu G - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Pi_\mu} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \Pi_\nu} + i(-1)^{a_F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi^\mu} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi_\mu} \tag{3}$$

where the notations used are

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu F = \partial_\mu + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \Pi_\lambda \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Pi_\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \psi^\nu \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi^\lambda} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \psi^\rho \psi^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

and a_F is the Grassmann parity of F : $a_F = (0, 1)$ for F=(even,odd).

If we expand $\mathcal{J}(x, \Pi, \psi)$ in a power series in the canonical momentum

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{J}^{(n)\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}(x, \psi) \Pi_{\mu_1} \ldots \Pi_{\mu_n} \tag{5}$$

then the bracket $\{H, \mathcal{J}\}$ vanishes for arbitrary Π_μ if and only if the components of $\mathcal{J}$ satisfy the generalized Killing equations [1] :

$$\mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{(\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n ; \mu_{n+1})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{(\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}}{\partial \psi^\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma_{\ \mu_{n+1})\lambda} \psi^\lambda = \frac{i}{2} \psi^\rho \psi^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma\nu(\mu_{n+1}} \mathcal{J}^{(n+1)\nu}{}_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n)} \tag{6}$$

where the parantheses denote full symmetrization over the indices enclosed.

The solutions of the generalized Killing equations (6) can be divided into two clases [2,3]: *generic* ones, which exists for any spinning particle model (1) and *non-generic* ones, which depend on the specific background space considered. To the first class belong proper-time translations and supersymmetry, generated by the hamiltonian and supercharge:

$$Q_0 = \Pi_\mu \psi^\mu. \tag{7}$$

In addition there is also a "chiral" symmetry generated by the chiral charge

$$\Gamma_* = \frac{i^{[\frac{d}{2}]}}{d!}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_d}\psi^{\mu_1}\ldots\psi^{\mu_d} \tag{8}$$

and a dual supersymmetry whose generator is

$$Q^* = i\{\Gamma_*, Q_0\} = \frac{i^{[\frac{d}{2}]}}{(d-1)!}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_d}\Pi^{\mu_1}\psi^{\mu_2}\ldots\psi^{\mu_d} \tag{9}$$

where d is the dimension of space-time.

The *non-generic* conserved quantities depend on the explicit form of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. It was a great success of Gibbons et al.[3] to have been able to prove that the Killing-Yano tensors can be understood as objects generating *non-generic* supersymmetries. A tensor $f_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_r}$ is called Killing-Yano of valence r if it is totally antisymmetric and satisfies the equation

$$f_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{r-1}(\mu_r;\lambda)} = 0. \tag{10}$$

In order to solve the system of coupled differential equations (6) one starts with a $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(n)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$ solution of the homogeneous equation:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(n)}_{(\mu_1\ldots\mu_n;\mu_{n+1})} + \frac{\partial\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(n)}_{(\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}}{\partial\psi^\sigma}\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu_{n+1})\lambda}\psi^\lambda = 0. \tag{11}$$

This solution is introduced in the r.h.s. of the generalized Killing equation (6) for $\mathcal{J}^{(n-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{n-1}}$ and the iteration is carried on to $n = 0$.

In fact, for the bosonic sector, neglecting the Grassmann variables $\{\psi^\mu\}$, all the generalized Killing equations (6) are homogeneous and decoupled. The first equation shows that $\mathcal{J}_0$ is a trivial constant, the next one is the equation for the Killing vectors and so on. In general, the homogeneous equation for a given n defines a Killing tensor of valence n and $\mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\Pi^{\mu_1}\ldots\Pi^{\mu_n}$ is a first integral of the geodesic equation [4].

For the spinning particles, even if one starts with a Killing tensor of valence n, solution of eq.(11) in which all spin degrees of freedom are neglected, the components $\mathcal{J}^{(m)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_m}$ $(m < n)$ will receive a nontrivial spin contribution.

In what follows we should like to stress that the very starting homogeneous equation (11) can have solutions depending on the Grassmann coordinates $\{\psi^\mu\}$. That is the case of the manifolds admitting Killing-Yano tensors. For example, for the first equation (11), i.e. $n = 0$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{4}f_{\mu\nu}\psi^\mu\psi^\nu \tag{12}$$

is a solution if $f_{\mu\nu}$ is a Killing-Yano tensor covariantly constant. Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(0)}$ is a separately conserved quantity.

Going to the next equation (11) with $n = 1$, a natural solution is:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)}_{\mu} = R_{\mu} f_{\lambda\sigma} \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\sigma} \tag{13}$$

where R_{μ} is a Killing vector ($R_{(\mu;\nu)} = 0$) and again $f_{\lambda\sigma}$ is a Killing-Yano tensor covariantly constant. Introducing this solution in the r.h.s. of the eq. (6) with $n = 0$, after some calculations, we get for $\mathcal{J}^{(0)}$

$$\mathcal{J}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{2} R_{[\mu;\nu]} f_{\lambda\sigma} \psi^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\sigma} \tag{14}$$

where the square bracket denotes the antisymmetrization with norme one. Finally, from eq.(5) with the aid of eqs.(13) and (14) we get a new constant of motion which is peculiar to the spinning case:

$$\mathcal{J} = f_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} \left(R_{\lambda} \Pi^{\lambda} + \frac{i}{2} R_{[\lambda;\sigma]} \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\sigma} \right). \tag{15}$$

Another ψ-dependent solution of the $n = 1$ eq.(11) can be generated from a Killing-Yano tensor of valence r:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)}_{\mu_1} = f_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_r} \psi^{\mu_2} \ldots \psi^{\mu_r}. \tag{16}$$

Following the above prescription we get for $\mathcal{J}^{(0)}$:

$$\mathcal{J}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{r+1} (-1)^{r+1} f_{[\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r;\mu_{r+1}]} \psi^{\mu_1} \ldots \psi^{\mu_{r+1}} \tag{17}$$

and the constant of motion corresponding to these solutions of the Killing equations is:

$$Q_f = f_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r} \Pi^{\mu_1} \psi^{\mu_2} \ldots \psi^{\mu_r} + \frac{i}{r+1} (-1)^{r+1} f_{[\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r;\mu_{r+1}]} \psi^{\mu_1} \ldots \psi^{\mu_{r+1}}. \tag{18}$$

Therefore the existence of a Killing-Yano tensor of valence r is equivalent to the existence of a supersymmetry for the spinning space with supercharge Q_f which anticommutes with Q_0. A similar result was obtained in ref.[5] in which it is discussed the role of the generalized Killing-Yano tensors, with the framework extended to include electromagnetic interactions.

Finally, we should like to mention the special case of a covariantly constant tensor $\mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}$, symmetric in the first r indices and antisymmetric in the remaining ones. Using such kind of tensor, the Killing equations are decoupled even in the spinning case, the quantity $\mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} \Pi^{\mu_1} \ldots \Pi^{\mu_r} \psi^{\mu_{r+1}} \ldots \psi^{\mu_n}$ being conserved along the geodesics.

In the main, with some ability, it is possible to investigate higher orders of eq.(11), but it seems that one cannot go too far with simple, transparent expressions. Instead of that, we shall apply the above constructions to a concret case, namely the four-dimensional euclidean Taub-NUT manifold.

2 Taub-NUT spinning space

Much attention has been paid to the Euclidean Taub-NUT metric, since in the long distance limit the relative motion of two monopoles is described approximately by its geodesics [6,7]. As it is well known, the geodesic motion of the Taub-NUT metric admits the Kepler-type symmetry [8-11]. On the other hand, the Kaluza-Klein monopole of Gross and Perry [12] and Sorkin [13] was obtain by embedding the Taub-NUT gravitational instanton into five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory.

In a special choice of coordinates the euclidean Taub-NUT metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = V(r)\left(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\right) + 16m^2 V^{-1}(r)(d\chi + \cos\theta d\varphi)^2 \qquad (19)$$

with $V(r) = 1 + \frac{4m}{r}$. There are four Killing vectors [8-11]

$$D_A = R^\mu_A\,\partial_\mu, \qquad A = 0, \cdots, 3 \qquad (20)$$

corresponding to the invariance of the metric (19) under spatial rotations ($A = 1, 2, 3$) and χ translations ($A = 0$). In the purely bosonic case these invariances would correspond to conservation of angular momentum and "relative electric charge" [8-10]:

$$\vec{j} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} + q\,\frac{\vec{r}}{r}. \qquad (21)$$

$$q = 16m^2\, V(r)\,(\dot{\chi} + \cos\theta\,\dot{\varphi}) \qquad (22)$$

where $\vec{p} = \frac{1}{V(r)}\dot{\vec{r}}$ is the "mechanical momentum" which is only part of the momentum canonically conjugate to $\vec{r}$.

Finally, there is a conserved vector analogous to the Runge-Lenz vector of the Kepler-type problem

$$\vec{K} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{K}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\left[\vec{p} \times \vec{j} + \left(\frac{q^2}{4m} - 4mE\right)\frac{\vec{r}}{r}\right] \qquad (23)$$

where the conserved energy E, from eq.(2), is

$$E = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\,\Pi_\mu\,\Pi_\nu \;= \frac{1}{2}V^{-1}(r)\left[\dot{\vec{r}}^{\,2} + \left(\frac{q}{4m}\right)^2\right]. \qquad (24)$$

In the Taub-NUT geometry there are known to exist four Killing-Yano tensors [9]. The first three Killing-Yano tensors $f_{i\mu\nu}$ are covariantly constants (with vanishing field strength)

$$f_i = 8m(d\chi + \cos\theta d\varphi) \wedge dx_i - \epsilon_{ijk}(1 + \frac{4m}{r})dx_j \wedge dx_k. \qquad (25)$$

The fourth Killing-Yano tensor is

$$f_Y = 8m(d\chi + \cos\theta d\varphi)\wedge dr + 4r(r+2m)(1+\frac{r}{4m})\sin\theta d\theta\wedge d\varphi \tag{26}$$

and having only one non-vanishing component of the field strength

$$f_{Y r\theta;\varphi} = 2(1+\frac{r}{4m})r\sin\theta. \tag{27}$$

The corresponding supercharges (18) constructed from the Killing-Yano tensors (25) and (26) are Q_i and Q_Y. The supercharges Q_i together with Q_0 from eq.(7) realize the N=4 supersymmetry algebra [14]:

$$\{Q_A, Q_B\} = -2i\delta_{AB}H \quad , \quad A,B = 0,\ldots,3 \tag{28}$$

making manifest the link between the existence of the Killing-Yano tensors and the hyper-Kähler geometry of the Taub-NUT manifold.

Starting with these results from the bosonic sector of the Taub-NUT space one can proceed with the spin contributions. The first generalized Killing equation (6) shows that with each Killing vector R^μ_A (20) there is an associated Killing scalar B_A [15]. A simple expression for the Killing scalar was given in Ref.[14]:

$$B_A = \frac{i}{2}R_{A[\mu;\nu]}\psi^\mu\psi^\nu. \tag{29}$$

Therefore the total angular momentum and "relative electric charge" become in the spinning case

$$\vec{J} = \vec{B} + \vec{j} \tag{30}$$
$$J_0 = B_0 + q \tag{31}$$

where $\vec{J} = (J_1, J_2, J_3)$ and $\vec{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$.

The above constants of motion are superinvariant:

$$\{J_A, Q_0\} = 0 \quad ; \quad A = 0,\ldots,3. \tag{32}$$

The Lie algebra defined by the Killing vectors is realized by the constants of motion (30), (31) through the Poisson-Dirac brackets (3).

Similarly, introducing the Killing tensors $\vec{K}_{\mu\nu}$ (23) into the r.h.s. of the second generalized Killing equation (6) we get that the corresponding Killing vectors $\vec{\mathcal{R}}_\mu$ have a spin dependent part $\vec{S}_\mu$ [16]

$$\vec{\mathcal{R}}_\mu = \vec{R}_\mu + \vec{S}_\mu \tag{33}$$

where $\vec{R}_\mu$ are the standard Killing vectors. The ψ-dependent part of the Killing vectors $\vec{S}_\mu$ contribute to the Runge-Lenz vector for the spinning space

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{K}_{\mu\nu}\cdot\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu + \vec{S}_\mu\cdot\dot{x}^\mu. \tag{34}$$

In terms of the supercharges Q_i and Q_Y, the components of the Runge-Lenz vector $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ are given by [14]

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}}_i = i\,\{Q_Y, Q_i\} \quad , \quad i = 1,2,3. \tag{35}$$

The non-vanishing Poisson brackets are (after some algebra):

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_i, J_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk} J_k \qquad (36)\\ \{J_i, \mathcal{K}_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{K}_k \qquad (37)\\ \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{K}_j\} &= \left(\frac{J_0^2}{16m^2} - 2E\right) \epsilon_{ijk} J_k \qquad (38) \end{aligned}$$

similarly to the results from the bosonic sector [9].

Taking into account the existence of the Killing-Yano covariantly constants tensors $f_{i\mu\nu}$ (25), three constants of motion can be obtained using the prescription (12):

$$S_i = \frac{i}{4} f_{i\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \psi^\nu \quad , \quad i = 1,2,3 \tag{39}$$

which realize an $SO(3)$ Lie-algebra similar to that of the angular momentum (36):

$$\{S_i, S_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} S_k. \tag{40}$$

These components of the spin are separately conserved and can be combined with the angular momentum $\vec{J}$ to define a new improved form of the angular momentum $I_i = J_i - S_i$ with the property that it preserve the algebra

$$\{I_i, I_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} I_k \tag{41}$$

and that it commutes with the $SO(3)$ algebra generated by the spin S_i

$$\{I_i, S_j\} = 0. \tag{42}$$

Let us note also the following Dirac brackets of S_i with supercharges

$$\{S_i, Q_0\} = -\frac{Q_i}{2} \quad ; \quad \{S_i, Q_j\} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ij} Q_0 + \epsilon_{ijk} Q_k). \tag{43}$$

We can combine these two $SO(3)$ algebras (40), (41) to obtain the generators of a conserved $SO(4)$ symmetry among the constants of motion, a standard basis for which is spanned by $M_i^\pm = I_i \pm S_i$ [14]. We should like to remark that there is no spin component like in equation (39) to be used for a improved "relative electric charge" J_0. The reason is that the fourth Killing-Yano tensor f_Y (26) is not covariantly constant. Of course, we can add to J_0 a combination of the three separately conserved quantities S_i but this is not a natural "improved relative electric charge". Moreover, it is impossible to modify a particular solution J_0

(31) of the generalized Killing eq.(6) for $n = 0$ and Killing vectors in the r.h.s. by adding solutions of the homogeneous part of this equation in order to recover the conserved quantity (22) from the standard Taub-NUT case as it was suggested in Ref.[17].

In fact, from equation (31), in the spinning case, q is not separately conserved and we have [15]:

$$\dot{q}(J_0 - q) = \dot{q}B_0 = -B_0\dot{B}_0 = \frac{256m^4}{(4m+r)^5}\dot{r}\Gamma_*. \tag{44}$$

Therefore, q is not separately conserved in the spinning space excepting the case $\Gamma_* = 0$. Γ_* can be zero if there is a relation between Grassmann variables ψ^μ. Such a relation can be realize impossing, for example, $Q_0 = 0$. This constraint can be correlated with the absence of an intrinsic electric dipole moment of physical fermions (leptons and quarks) [1]. The conservation of Q_0 guarantees that this condition can be satisfied at all times, irrespective of the presence of external fields.

However, in general, the motion of a spinning particle governed by the action (1), does not fix the value of the supercharge Q_0. We have the freedom to choose its value and any choice gives a consistent model [1]. On the other hand, in Refs.[15,18], a simple exact solution of the generalized Killing equations, corresponding to trajectories lying on a cone, is given. Again this particular solution requires that $\Gamma_* = 0$ and the constant of motion J_0 reduces to the standard "relative electric charge" q.

Finally, let us consider a solution of the homogeneous eq. (11) for $n = 1$ of the type (13). Using the Killing vectors (20) and the Killing-Yano tensors (25) we can form the combinations:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)}_{Aj\mu} = R_{A\mu} f_{j\lambda\sigma}\psi^\lambda\psi^\sigma, \quad A = 0, \ldots, 3; j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{45}$$

After some algebra we get the new constants of motion of the form (15):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{Aj} &= f_{j\lambda\sigma}\psi^\lambda\psi^\sigma \left(R_{A\mu}\Pi^\mu + \frac{i}{2} R_{A[\alpha;\beta]}\psi^\alpha\psi^\beta \right) \\ &= -4iS_j J_A \quad , \quad A = 0, \ldots, 3; j = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where we used eqs.(15), (29-31) and (39). Strictly speaking, the constants $\mathcal{J}_{Aj}$ are not completly new, being expressed in terms of the constants J_A and S_j. However, the combinations (46) arise in a natural way as solutions of the generalized Killing equations and appear only in the spinning case. Moreover, we can form a sort of Runge-Lenz vector involving only Grassmann components:

$$L_i = \frac{1}{m}\epsilon_{ijk}S_j J_k \quad ; \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \tag{47}$$

with the commutation relations like in eqs.(37), (38):

$$\{L_i, J_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \tag{48}$$

$$\{L_i, L_j\} = \left(\vec{S}\vec{J} - \vec{S}^2\right) \frac{1}{m^2} \epsilon_{ijk} J_k . \tag{49}$$

Note also the following Dirac brackets of L_i with supercharges:

$$\{L_i, Q_0\} = -\frac{1}{2m} \epsilon_{ijk} Q_j J_k \tag{50}$$

$$\{L_i, Q_j\} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\epsilon_{ijk} Q_0 J_k - \delta_{ij} Q_k M_k^- + Q_i M_j^-\right) . \tag{51}$$

3 Concluding remarks

The constants of motion of a scalar particle in a curved spacetime are determined by the symmetries of the manifold, and are expressible in terms of the Killing vectors and tensors, i.e. if a spacetime admits a Killing tensor $K_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r}$ of valence r, then the quantity $K_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r} \Pi^{\mu_1} \ldots \Pi^{\mu_r}$ is conserved along the geodesic. On the other hand, the Killing-Yano tensors can be understood as objects generating *non-generic* supersymmetries [3]. They have been also used to investigate the motion of spinning particles including electromagnetic interactions [5] and torsion [19]. The aim of this paper was to point out the role of the Killng-Yano tensors to generate solutions of the homogeneous parts of the generalized Killing equations. This solutions must be included in the complete solution of the system of coupled Killing equations. The general procedure was applied to the particularly case of the Taub-NUT spinning space. The extension of this results for the motion of spinning particles in spaces with torsion and/or in the presence of an electromagnetic field will be discussed elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (M.V.) would like to thank A.J.Macfarlane for a useful discussion on the properties of Killing-Yano tensors. He has profitied from a stimulated meeting in Dubna, Russia for which he thanks the organizers.

References

[1] See e.g. R.H.Rietdijk, *"Applications of supersymmetric quantum mechanics"*, Ph.D.Thesis, Univ. Amsterdam (1992), and references therein.

[2] R.H.Rietdijk and J.W.van Holten, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **7** (1990) 247.

[3] G.W.Gibbons, R.H.Rietdijk and J.W.van Holten, *Nucl.Phys.* **B404** (1993) 42.

[4] W.Dietz and R.Rüdinger, *Proc.R.Soc.London* **A375** (1981) 361.

[5] M.Tanimoto, *Nucl.Phys.* **B442** (1995) 549.

[6] N.S.Manton, *Phys.Lett.* **B110** (1982) 54.

[7] M.F.Atiyah and N.Hitchin, *Phys.Lett.* **A107** (1985) 21.

[8] G.W.Gibbons and N.S.Manton, *Nucl.Phys.* **B274** (1986) 183.

[9] G.W.Gibbons and P.J.Ruback, *Phys.Lett.* **B188** (1987) 226; *Commun. Math.Phys.* **115** (1988) 267.

[10] L.Gy.Feher and P.A.Horvathy, *Phys.Lett.* **B182** (1987) 183; *id,* (E) **B188** (1987) 512.

[11] B.Cordani, L.Gy.Feher and P.A Horvathy, *Phys.Lett.* **B201** (1988) 481.

[12] D.J.Gross and M.J.Perry, *Nucl.Phys.* **B226** (1983) 29.

[13] R.D.Sorkin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **51** (1983) 87.

[14] J.W.van Holten, *Phys.Lett.* **B342** (1995) 47.

[15] M.Visinescu, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **11** (1994) 1867.

[16] M.Visinescu, *Phys.Lett.* **B339** (1994) 28.

[17] A.Comtet and P.H.Horvathy, *Phys.Lett.* **B349** (1995) 49.

[18] D.Vaman, M.Visinescu, *"Symmetries and motion in spinning Taub-NUT space"* to be published in the Proceedings of the XVIII International Workshop on High Energy Physics and Field Theory, Protvino, Russia (1995).

[19] R.H.Rietjik and J.W.van Holten, *"Killing tensors and a new geometric duality"* preprint NIKHEF/95-061 (1995); hep-th/9511166.